Office of the Consumer Advocate

PO Box 23135 Terrace on the Square St. John's, NL Canada A1B 4J9 Tel: 709-724-3800 Fax: 709-754-3800

May 4, 2018

Via Courier

Board of Commissions of Public Utilities 120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 2140 St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention: G. Cheryl Blundon, Director of Corporate Services / Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Blundon:

RE: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - 2017 General Rate Application

Further to the above-captioned, enclosed please find enclosed the original and thirteen (13) copies of the Consumer Advocate's Requests for Information numbered CA-NLH-303 CA-NLH-314.

Yours truly,

Stephen Fitzgerald Counsel for the Consumer Advocate

Encl. /bb

cc



Island Industrial Customers Group

Paul Coxworthy (pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com) Dean Porter (dporter@poolealthouse.ca) Denis Fleming (dfleming@coxandpalmer.com) Iron Ore Company of Canada Van Alexopoulos (Van.Alexopoulos@ironore.ca Benoit Pepin (benoit.pepin@riotinto.com) Communities of Sheshatshiu, Happy Valley-Goose Bay Wabush and Labrador City Senvung Luk (sluk@oktlaw.com)

IN THE MATTER OF

the *Electrical Power Control Act, 1994* SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the "*EPCA*") and the *Public Utilities Act,* RSNL 1990, Chapter P-47 (the "*Act*"), as amended; and

<u>IN THE MATTER OF</u> a General Rate Application by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro to establish customer electricity rates for 2018 and 2019; and

CONSUMER ADVOCATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

CA-NLH-303 to CA-NLH-314

Issued: May 4, 2018

- (Application for Approval to Defer the 2015, 2016 and 2017 Balances in 1 CA-NLH-303 2 the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy 3 Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate 4 Deferral Account, April 13, 2018 Letter to the Board) Based on Hydro's 5 current proposals in the 2017 GRA and the 2018 Interim Rates Application, 6 and incorporating the results of the Settlement Agreement on the 2017 GRA 7 and the latest fuel price forecast, please provide a table showing for the 8 following dates the average rate in cents/kWh and the average rate increase 9 from the previous period in percent for each Island Interconnected customer 10 class: July 1, 2017, April 1, 2018, July 1, 2018 and January 1, 2019. Please 11 show any amounts still owed by each customer class as of December 31, 12 2019 and the forecast balance in the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account at year-end 2019. Please provide this information for the 13 14 Deferral Account Scenario and the Expected Supply Scenario. For the Expected Supply Scenario, please show results with and without the 15 16 LIL/LTA O&M costs in the cost of service; i.e., for the "without case", assume LIL/LTA O&M costs are recovered at a future date when the 17 18 Muskrat Falls project is commissioned.
- 20 (Application for Approval to Defer the 2015, 2016 and 2017 Balances in CA-NLH-304 21 the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate 22 23 Deferral Account, April 13, 2018 Letter to the Board) Please provide the same information requested in CA-NLH-303 for the same scenarios, but 24 assuming that all of the 2018 revenue shortfall remaining at year-end 2018 25 is recovered in 2019, and that all of the \$65.4 million balance owing in the 26 supply cost deferral accounts is recovered in 2019 without any drawdown 27 from the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account. 28

19

29

(Application for Approval to Defer the 2015, 2016 and 2017 Balances in 30 CA-NLH-305 the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy 31 Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate 32 Deferral Account, April 13, 2018 Letter to the Board) It is understood that 33 the average residential rate today for Newfoundland Power customers is 34 11.7 cents/kWh. It is also understood that when Muskrat Falls comes into 35 service the average residential rate for Newfoundland Power customers is 36 forecast to be 22.9 cents/kWh. Please confirm that if it is assumed Hydro is 37 granted the 2.8% base rate increase on July 1, 2018, the base rate increases 38 needed to take rates from where they would be on July 1, 2018 to 22.9 39

1 cents/kWh on Jan 1 2021 assuming equal increases on January 1, 2019, 2 January 1, 2020 and January 1, 2021 would be of the order of 24%. Please also confirm that for the case where Hydro is not granted the 2.8% interim 3 4 base rate increase on July 1, 2018, the corresponding base rate increases 5 needed to take rates from where they are today to the 22.9 cents/kWh on 6 January 1, 2021 would be of the order of 25%. 7 8 CA-NLH-306 (Application for Approval to Defer the 2015, 2016 and 2017 Balances in the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy 9 Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate 10 11 Deferral Account, April 13, 2018 Letter to the Board) It is understood that 12 the average residential rate today for Newfoundland Power customers is 13 11.7 cents/kWh. It is also understood that Hydro is targeting a rate of 18 14 cents/kWh when Muskrat Falls comes into service (see April 24, 2018 15 transcript, page 188, lines 1 to 6). Please confirm that if it is assumed Hydro 16 is granted the 2.8% base rate increase on July 1, 2018, the base rate 17 increases needed to take rates from where they would be on July 1, 2018 to 18 18 cents/kWh on January 1, 2021 assuming equal increases on January 1, 2019, January 1, 2020 and January 1, 2021 would be of the order of 14%. 19 20 Please also confirm that for the case where Hydro is not granted the 2.8% 21 interim base rate increase on July 1, 2018, the corresponding base rate 22 increases needed to take rates from where they are today to 18 cents/kWh 23 on January 1, 2021 would be of the order of 15%.

(Application for Approval to Defer the 2015, 2016 and 2017 Balances in 25 CA-NLH-307 26 the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy 27 Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate 28 Deferral Account, April 13, 2018 Letter to the Board) Based on Hydro's 29 forecast of funds expected to accumulate in the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account through 2020, how much would the rate 30 increase needed on January 1, 2021 be reduced in percent if all of the 31 forecast balance in the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account 32 were applied to reduce the revenue requirement needed in 2021? How much 33 would the rate increase needed on January 1, 2019 be reduced in percent if 34 all of the forecast balance in the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral 35 Account at year-end 2020 were applied to reduce the revenue requirement 36 needed in 2019? 37

24

1 2 3	CA-NLH-308	(Application for Approval to Defer the 2015, 2016 and 2017 Balances in the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate
4 5		Deferral Account, April 13, 2018 Letter to the Board) Hydro is proposing
6		an effective rate increase for Newfoundland Power customers of 7.5% on July 1, 2018. In Hydro's opinion what is the maximum rate increase that
7		can be implemented for these customers on January 1, 2019 without causing
8		"rate shock"?
9		
10	CA-NLH-309	(Hydro's April 30, 2018 submission to the Board concerning the Motion of
11		the Consumer Advocate requesting clarification of the Jurisdiction of the
12		Board) It is understood that Hydro's position with respect to Board
13		jurisdiction is that: 1) the Board has no jurisdiction over any costs related
14		to the Muskrat Falls project; i.e., if a cost is identified with respect to the
15		Muskrat Falls project, the Board is required to pass through the cost to
16		Island customers without any review or modification, and 2) the costs of
17		the Muskrat Falls project cannot be recovered from customers until the
18		project as a whole is near commissioning and at this time, the project is not
19		considered to be near commissioning.
20 21		a) Please confirm the accuracy of this statement, or otherwise provide a
22		modified statement reflecting Hydro's position with respect to Board
23		jurisdiction.
24		Jurisarenom
25		b) Please explain why it is necessary to set aside LIL/LTA O&M costs in
26		the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account if, as Hydro
27		believes, the Board is required to pass these costs through to customers
28		when the Muskrat Falls project is near commissioning. Please explain
29		why these costs would not be accumulated as part of the Muskrat Falls
30		project costs and be passed through to customers at the same time all
31		other costs of the Muskrat Falls project are passed through.
32		
33		c) If Hydro continues to believe it appropriate to set aside LIL/LTA O&M
34		costs in the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account, why does
35		Hydro consider it appropriate to set aside only the O&M costs rather
36		than the O&M costs and capital costs associated with the LIL/LTA
37		transmission assets?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		d) Please explain what options might be available to the Government's rate mitigation committee to "mitigate" rates when as a requirement of the financing for the Muskrat Falls project the Board is required to pass through all costs of the project. What recourse does the Government rate mitigation committee have if all costs are governed by legal instruments?
8 9 10 11 12 13		e) What is the value of using an 18 cents/kWh target if rates on the Island are forecast to be 22.9 cents/kWh in 2021 and if owing to financing requirements for the Muskrat Falls project the Government's rate mitigation committee has no recourse? At this point, how realistic is any target other than the 22.9 cents/kWh figure forecast by Nalcor?
13 14 15 16 17 18		f) Do the companies that own the Muskrat Falls facilities have any incentive to manage costs when they are allowed direct pass through of all costs to customers without any vetting or modification by the Board? If so, please explain.
19 20 21 22		g) Are there any means available for reducing rates from the 22.9 cents/kWh forecast by Nalcor other than Hydro's ability to cut costs that are not associated with the Muskrat Falls project?
 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 22 	CA-NLH-310	(CA-NLH-298) In the response to CA-NLH-298, Hydro states "Under the Expected Supply Scenario, should actual savings from off-island power purchases be less than reflected in the test year forecast, a supply cost balance owing from customers would accumulate in the Revised Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account. This would result in higher rates for customers to be recovered during a future recovery period. This increase in rates would likely occur during the same timeframe that customer rate increases are being implemented to recover the cost of the Muskrat Falls Project, further compounding the required rate increases to customers on the Island Interconnected System."
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 		a) Is this not true for any deferral account?b) Is it possible that the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Holyrood Conversion Rate Deferral Account and the Rate Stabilization Plan might result in rate increases being implemented "<i>during the same</i>

4 System"? 5	
6 c) Does Hydro propose to discontinue or modify the Isola	lated Systems
7 Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy	• 247
8 Variance Deferral Account, the Holyrood Conversion F	67 - 3751 XING
9 Account and the Rate Stabilization Plan to avoid the pote	
10 increases "during the same timeframe that customer rate in	e increases are
11 being implemented to recover the cost of the Muskrat I	Falls project,
12 further compounding the required rate increases to custo	stomers on the
13 Island Interconnected System"?	
14	
15 CA-NLH-311 Could a rate mitigation plan based on a rate surcharge or rate	
16 reserve funds that could be used by the Board to mitigate r	
brought on by the Muskrat Falls project, or to deal with r	
 brought on by any of the deferral accounts including the Re Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account that might be imple 	19 00 - 1970 - 19
19Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account that might be imple20Board were to approve the Expected Supply Scenario?	lemented if the
21 Board were to approve the Expected Suppry Scenario.	
22 CA-NLH-312 Based on evidence filed to date and cross-examination of Mr	Ar. Havnes and
23 Ms. Dalley, is Hydro of the belief that the intervenors suppor	
24 Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account? Please reference ar	
25 evidence.	
26	
27 CA-NLH-313 Under Hydro's proposed Deferral Account Scenario, Newfour	undland Power
28 would be allocated more of the rural deficit than it would	ould under the
29 Expected Supply Scenario. Further, Rural and Isolated Cu	Customer rates
30 would be higher. Please explain why in Hydro's view the	
31 justified, and if this is consistent with Government directives	es on rates and
32 the rural deficit.	
	ND 4 ' 1'I I
34 CA-NLH-314 Given that Hydro believes a Board decision on the 2017 GR	
before year-end and possibly not until 2019, is Hydro prop achedula for the 2010 GPA? Is a 2010 GPA product given	
36schedule for the 2019 GRA? Is a 2019 GRA needed given37Falls will not be placed in service until late 2020? What	
38 repercussions of waiting until 2020 to file the next GRA?	

6

<u>DATED</u> at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this $\frac{4^{th}}{1000}$ day of May, 2018.

Per:

Stephen Fitzgerald Counsel for the Consumer Advocate Terrace on the Square, Level 2, P.O. Box 23135 St. John's, Newfoundland & Labrador A1B 4J9

Telephone: (709) 724-3800 Telecopier: (709) 754-3800